

# Unified Theory of Gravity, Electromagnetic force, Strong and Weak Forces and Their Applications——Theoretical Explanation for Dark Matter

Author: Sheng Qin

Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, China, 610000

E-Mail: [qinsheng@swufe.edu.cn](mailto:qinsheng@swufe.edu.cn)

**Abstract:** Since the birth of physics, our understanding of force has gradually deepened, and the exploration of gravity, electromagnetism, weak force and strong force has continued to deepen. However, a troubling question remains unresolved: the internal connection and unity of these four forces.

This paper first explains the theory of the origin of force from a new perspective, explaining that the origin of all forces is caused by the "missing of energy in the time dimension" (non-conservation in a certain period of time), and constructs a unified theory of force on this basis. Then, through the unified theory of force, the following phenomena are reinterpreted: (1) The common origin of the inverse square law of gravitation and electromagnetic force; (2) The origin of the repulsive force of electrons and protons at small distances; (3) The mystery of the rotation curve of galaxies and the new explanation of "dark matter"; (4) Explain the origin of the magnetic field; (5) Predict that moving magnets have the same radiation effect as charged particles (electron magnetic moments also have radiation effects); (6) Reinterpret Maxwell's displacement current; (7) Reinterpret synchrotron radiation and bremsstrahlung; (8) Explain that the strong and weak forces are short-range forces; (9) Predict the true structure of black holes and the origin of gravitational waves; (10) Modifications to the Theory of Gravity.

Through the unified theory, we will be able to better understand the mechanism of gravity, electromagnetic force, weak force and strong force.

**Key words:** unified theory of force; Gravitation; Electromagnetic force; Weak force; Strong force; Inverse square law; Galaxy rotation curve; The origin of magnetic field; Charged particle radiation; Maxwell displacement current; Black hole structure; Radiation effect of moving magnet.

## 1. Introduction

For a long time, the unification of electromagnetic force, gravitational force, strong force and weak force has puzzled physicists, and the origin and internal connection of various forces are still unclear. For example: Why does the inverse square law hold in both gravitational and electromagnetic fields? Why are the strong and weak forces short-range?

Sheng Qin, graduated from the Mathematics Department of Anhui University in 2007; Graduated from school of economics, Southwestern University of Finance and economics in 2012

For the origin of the magnetic field, we currently believe that it is generated by the current in the conductor, but this is only a theory established based on our past experience and observations, and its specific nature is still unclear.

For the explanation of charged particle radiation, we have explained in another article that it may be problematic to explain it by time effect in special relativity<sup>[1]</sup>, and the author has also argued that we can actually explain relativistic effect without time slowing down and length contraction<sup>[2]</sup>, so how can we explain these phenomena more reasonably?

As for the existence of dark matter and dark energy, we have lacked direct experimental evidence so far, so does our current physical theory need to be revised?

This paper tries to establish a unified theory of gravitation, electromagnetic force, strong force and weak force, first of all, to answer the essence of the origin of all the "forces" observed by us, and at the same time to establish a new mechanism to answer the following important questions: The origin of the inverse square law, the origin of magnetic fields, the nature of galactic rotation curves, the origin of electromagnetic radiation, modifications of Maxwell's theory of displacement currents, the nature of strong and weak forces and short-range forces, and other related issues.

At the same time, we make new predictions: high-speed magnets will also radiate energy, the real structure of black holes is similar to that of atomic nuclei and extranuclear electrons (showing repulsion at close range), the gravitational potential energy of black holes is limited (they will not swallow photons), and celestial bodies will not radiate gravitational waves in high-speed rotation.

We modify the traditional theory: the problem of the minimum radius of action of electric field theory and gravitational theory, the energy function of gravitational field, and the origin of magnetic field.

## **2. On the Properties of Fields and the Conservation of Energy**

### **2.1 The nature and dilemma of the discussion field**

#### **2.1.1 Dilemma in Electric Field Interpretation**

In our current framework of physical theory, "fields" are energetic. For example: electric field, magnetic field, gravitational field, we all think that they have substantial energy. Objects move under the action of the field, and the energy of the field is converted into the kinetic energy of the matter.

We take the electric field as an example, as shown in Figure 1 below:




Fig. 1 Conventional Electric Field Energy Interpretation

According to our current theory, the electric field  $E$  will fill the whole space. Then, the connection between the electric field  $E$  and the electron  $e$  has the following two possible cases:

**Case 1: The electric field  $E$  and the electron  $e$  are independent of each other;**

**Case 2: The electric field  $E$  and the electron  $e$  are closely connected and inseparable from each other.**

When we accelerate the electron  $e$ , if it is "case 1", then we will only accelerate the electron  $e$ , and the electric field  $E$  will not accelerate with the electron. According to the phenomenon we have observed, the accelerated electron  $e$  will immediately produce a "new field" to fill the whole space, so in the process of electron acceleration. There is no doubt that almost infinite energy is needed to replenish and fill the "new field". At the same time, we need to explain many other dilemmas: Where is the "field" that is separated from the electron during acceleration? If the "electric field" has energy and mass, do they disappear or eventually flow back to the electron? If the energy of the electric field flows back, what is the process?

Obviously, if electrons and electric fields are independent of each other, we will encounter great difficulties in explaining physical phenomena.

If it is "case 2", then when we accelerate the electron  $e$ , the electric field  $E$  will accelerate with the electron. But we will still face many difficulties in the process of explanation. As an electric field  $E$  with energy and mass (with inertia), it fills the whole space. How can it accelerate and decelerate with the electron  $e$  instantaneously? In addition, We also need to explain how the "electric field energy  $E$ " of the whole space returns to the electron and proton instantaneously in a short

time when the electron and proton attract each other, thus converting into the kinetic energy of the mutual motion between the electron and the proton?

In short, whether it is "Case 1" or "Case 2", we have actually encountered great difficulties in interpretation and application.

### 2.1.2 Dilemma in the Interpretation of Gravitational Field

1) If we replace the example of electric field with gravitational field, we will face more difficulties. Because gravity fills the whole universe, and if we consider the matter gravity of the whole universe as a whole, the accompanying gravitational field energy of any object with a static mass of  $m$  will be far greater than its own static energy :  $mc^2$  . (We can consider that case where Matt collapses from the interstellar gas into a black hole, and the energy generate by gravity during the collapse process will far exceed the initial static mass of the interstellar gas, so before the collapse, this part of gravitational energy must be evenly distribute in the gravitational field of each tiny gaseous matter.)

If the gravitational field is closely connected with matter, we do not find the energy of this huge gravitational field in the process of accelerating matter.

Therefore, according to the traditional theory, we think that electric field, magnetic field, gravitational field and so on have substantial energy, momentum and inertia, which will encounter great trouble, at least we need to supplement a large number of theories to make up for this defect. It is more reasonable to think that all "fields" do not have energy, but it is more reasonable.

2) According to current theory, if the gravitational field exists in the form of gravitons and travels at the speed of light, then the gravitational redshift will not exist. Because the photon moves away from the gravitational field at the speed of light, the relative motion speed relative to the graviton will be 0, then the gravitational field will not be able to have any gravitational effect on the photon.

## 2.2 Discuss the way energy exists and the nature of the field

We make the following two assumptions:

**Assumption 1:** We assume that all matter has a minimum static mass  $m_0$  .

**Assumption 2:** All energy in the universe comes from the energy  $m_0$  corresponding to the static mass of matter  $m_0 \cdot c^2$  ; And the energy  $m(v)$  caused

by the relative motion between substances, where  $m(v) = m_0 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v^2}}$  .

If we follow these two assumptions, there will be some interesting new changes in

our energy conservation formula and momentum conservation formula.

## 2.2.1 Discussion on the Rationality of the Assumption of Minimum Static Mass $m_0$

### 2.2.1.1 Discussion on Photon Doppler Shift in the Universe

At present, we can observe the light emitted by stars tens of billions of light-years away, and we know that the Doppler redshift effect is ubiquitous.




Fig. 2 Doppler shift in the universe

Since the stars are far enough away, we can assume that they are moving away from the Earth in a straight line on a large scale, so their Doppler redshift formula is as follows:

$$\omega_1 = \omega_0 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{c - v}{c + v}}$$

Where  $\omega_0$  is the frequency of the photon as it leaves the star;  $\omega_1$  is the frequency of the photons observed by Earth observers;  $V$  is the relative velocity of our earth with respect to the stars.

Assuming that the speed of light  $C$  is a constant and definite value, the frequency of photons seen by an observer on Earth in the Doppler shift formula  $\omega_1$  it depends on the relative velocity  $V$  between the Earth and the star and on the initial frequency of the photons  $\omega_0$ . The Doppler shift function can therefore be assumed to be:

$$\omega_1 = f(\omega_0, v, c)$$

Since we assume that the speed of light  $C$  is constant and therefore the same for photons of any frequency, we cannot distinguish the difference between any two different photons by  $C$ .

Therefore, the above Doppler shift function will become:

$$\omega_1 = f(\omega_0, v)$$

Therefore, at the initial frequency of the photon  $\omega_0$  once determined, the Doppler shift effect depends only on the relative velocity of motion  $V$ .

However, we know that the distance between stars in the universe is very far, hundreds of millions of light-years or even billions of light-years. When photons reach the Earth, the speed of the luminous planet A away from the Earth has already changed, and even the planet A no longer exists. Therefore, the "information" of the initial velocity  $V$  of A relative to the earth must be "carried" by photons all the time. Otherwise, the frequency value of the Doppler shift effect cannot be determined when the photon comes to the earth and is observed  $\omega_1$ .

However, the photon is not sure what object it will be absorbed by on the way of propagation, and with the passage of time, the relative velocity between objects in the universe is changing, so  $V$  can not be a fixed and definite velocity, but a relative velocity function relative to all possible objects, and it is changing with the passage of time. Therefore, we can assume that this velocity information function for photons is:

$$V_{AX} = g(X, t)$$

Where  $X$  is the range of all possible matter in the universe that the photon may encounter,  $V_{AX}$  represents the relative velocity of motion between the initially luminous star A and all the matter  $X$  that may be encountered; The  $t$  refers to the time, because  $V_{AX}$  it is not a fixed value, but will change with the passage of time  $t$ .

Therefore, we can think that the range of values of  $(X, t)$  will be an infinite set, and it needs to be constantly changing with the change of time  $t$ . Then, the information carried by the photon will be an infinite set function related to  $t$ . It is hard to imagine that a tiny photon can carry an infinite and constantly changing "information set  $(X, t)$ ". This is not reasonable.

**Therefore, we can infer that the speed of all photons is a constant  $C$ , which is an unreasonable assumption.**

If we assume that any photon has a minimum initial mass  $m_0$ . The energy of a photon is given in terms of the relativistic kinetic mass formula as follows:

$$m_0 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \cdot c^2$$

(From the current evidence, both the speed of light we test on Earth and the speed

of light observed through stars are almost equal to a constant value of  $C$ . Therefore, we can expect that this minimum mass  $m_0$  must be very small.)

However, having an initial minimum mass  $m_0$  an important advantage of the assumption is that the velocity of the photon is not a constant value  $C$ , but a velocity  $V$  that approaches the constant value  $C$  indefinitely ( $V \approx C$ ).

Then, in the process of photon propagation, similar to the general matter with mass, it will have a self-determined velocity  $V$ , so that no matter what object is absorbed in the process of photon propagation, its relative energy will be determined by the relative velocity between photon and object. Therefore, the dilemma that photons need to carry an infinite set of information ( $X, t$ ) is avoided. Let the whole Doppler shift function become a conventional relativistic transformation formula (this can be easily proved by the relativistic transformation formula, which will not be discussed too much here).

### 2.2.1.2 Discussion on the Behavior of Photon in Gravitational Field

As shown in the figure 3 below, we know that light will be bent when it passes through the gravitational field, and Doppler gravitational blue shift will occur at the same time:




Fig. 3 Gravitational blue-shift of light passing through gravitational field  
The force on the photon in the gravitational field is as follows:




Fig. 4 Acceleration of light in gravitational field

If we assume that the velocity of the photon is a constant  $C$ , assuming that the photon is moving perpendicular to the direction of the gravitational field at the beginning, the trajectory of the photon bends under the action of gravity, and the gravitational blue shift occurs. So according to our velocity synthesis formula, if the photon travels at a constant speed  $C$ , then gravity will not be able to accelerate it in the vertical direction. Since the photon is assumed to acquire a vertical velocity component  $V$ , the velocity of the photon will exceed the speed of light  $C$ :




Fig. 5 The resultant velocity of light rays in the gravitational field

The resultant speed will be:  $\sqrt{c^2 + v^2} > c$ , this would violate our understanding that  $C$  is a constant. Therefore, according to the velocity composition formula, we will consider that it is impossible for a photon to acquire a vertical velocity component  $V$ .

Unless the photon first slows down and then, under the action of the gravitational field, re-accelerates in the direction perpendicular to its direction of motion and returns to the speed of light  $C$ . But this assumption creates a huge problem: we need to reinvent a theory to explain how it works.

But if, as before, we assume that the photon has a minimum initial mass  $m_0$ , then the initial energy of the photon is:



$$h\omega_0 = m_0 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 - \frac{v_0^2}{c^2}}} \cdot c^2$$

The motion of photons in the gravitational field will be no different from that of ordinary matter, and they can also be accelerated in the vertical direction, and can also be calculated and analyzed by using the velocity synthesis theory.

Of course, we can also use general relativity to explain that the curvature of space-time causes the curvature of photon propagation. However, in fact, the curvature of space still can not avoid the acceleration dilemma of photons in the vertical direction of their movement. Because if the speed of light is assumed to be constant, whether space is curved or not, then any acceleration in the vertical direction will result. It will cause the photon to acquire the velocity component in the vertical direction, which will cause the superluminal dilemma.

### 2.3 Conclusion of this section

From the above analysis, we believe that any substance has a minimum static mass  $m_0$  it is reasonable, even for photons or neutrinos.

Then, with the assumption of minimum static mass, the dynamic mass and energy of assumption two become a natural thing. At the same time, we will also obtain the following inferences:

**Conclusion 1: All fields, such as electric fields, magnetic fields, and gravitational fields, have neither energy nor mass.**

Because the only way for energy to exist is the initial mass and relative velocity of motion, the only way for two substances A and B to obtain energy through the action of the "field" is to produce relative motion, and there is no energy of the "field" itself.

Through the above analysis, we believe that it is reasonable to assume that any field does not have energy.

**Corollary 1: The conservation of momentum and the conservation of energy will change because this "field" does not have the nature of energy, and therefore there will be a series of "spatial effects".**

These spatial effects are the essence of the origin of the phenomena produced by all the "fields" we see. We will discuss this in more detail below.

When the field no longer has energy, the process of energy conservation will take the following form (taking the electric field as an example):

Electron and proton combine and gain relative velocity, energy is conserved



When electrons and protons are separated, the kinetic energy decreases, the energy disappears, and the energy is in a transient non-conservation state  $E_{missing}$ , and produce the effect of force



Under the action of force and the evolution of time  $t$ , electrons and protons recombine and gain kinetic energy, and energy returns to conservation.

### 3 Unified theory of force

**Conclusion 2: Electric field, magnetic field, gravitational field, etc. The "energy of field" obtained by matter through the action of field is limited.**

Prove: Whether it is an electric field or a gravitational field, its energy is limited, and there is no situation in which the energy of the gravitational field and the electric field will tend to infinity when the radius is reduced to infinity as we speculate by the formula.

For example, Newton's gravitational potential energy formula (1) and electric field potential energy formula (2) are as follows:

$$E_p = -\frac{GMm}{r} \quad (1)$$

$$E_q = -\frac{kQq}{r} \quad (2)$$

Where  $G$  is the gravitational constant,  $k = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}$ . According to the current theory,

if  $r \rightarrow 0$  tends to be infinitesimal, then the potential energy can be infinite.

However, the actual experimental conclusion is that this is not the case. The collision and annihilation of positive and negative electrons can well prove this point. If the electric field and gravitational field have the force under the infinitesimal radius, then the energy of the field  $E_p$  and  $E_q$  it goes to infinity. Then when the positive and negative electrons annihilate, the energy of the electric field and gravitational field will also be released.

However, in the actual process, we can see that the energy released by the annihilation of positive and negative electrons and protons is limited (and close to the energy corresponding to the static mass of positive and negative electrons and protons:  $m_e \cdot c^2$  or  $m_p \cdot c^2$ ), basically corresponding to the static energy of matter.

Therefore, the energy of electric field or gravitational field between positive and negative electrons and positive and negative protons is limited. Therefore, the

gravitational potential energy formula  $E_p = -\frac{GMm}{r}$  or the electric potential energy formula  $E_p = -\frac{kQq}{r}$  then  $r$  will be a finite value and will not tend to be infinitesimal.

Prove it.

Note: It may be questioned that when positive and negative matter annihilates, the gravitational field energy between matter is immediately converted into the gravitational field energy between photons because the photons produced are immediately separated. However, in fact, we can make two photons interfere with each other by reflection, and the distance between photons can be regarded as 0. We still do not observe the emergence of infinite energy.

**Conclusion 3: Since fields do not possess energy, our universe may exhibit a state of "energy non-conservation," or  $E_{missing}$  state, in local regions or over time. It is precisely because of the non-conservation of this energy in a local area or a certain period of time, that there is an interaction force between substances, which finally makes the substances move with each other and the energy returns to the conserved state.**

1) Take the gravitational field as an example, if we assume that two identical massive neutron stars merge into a black hole, the static mass of the two neutron stars before the merger is  $M_0$ , because of the huge amount of energy  $E$  produced by the gravitational force in the merger. Then, the energy after the merger will be much larger than the static mass of the two neutron stars we observed before the merger  $2M_0$  :

$$2M_0 + E \gg 2M_0$$

According to the traditional interpretation,  $E$  is the energy of the gravitational field before the merger of two neutron stars. However, in the actual observation, we can not see this part of  $E$ , only the static mass of the two neutron stars will be observed  $2M_0$ . This missing energy  $E$  is eventually returned by the movement of neutron stars under the action of gravity. Before the neutron star merges, this part of energy  $E$  is in the missing state:  $E = E_{missing}$ . It is the missing of energy caused by the non-conservation of energy on the time dimension that produces what we call "gravitational field" and the action of gravity.

2) Taking the electric field as an example, our current measured electron mass, proton mass and calculated hydrogen atom mass are as follows<sup>[3] [4] [5]</sup>:

Electron mass (unit u):  $m_e = 0.000548579$

Proton mass (unit u):  $m_p = 1.007276466$

Mass of hydrogen atom (unit u):  $m_H = 1.007825032$

So,  $m_e + m_p = 1.007825045 > m_H$

This conclusion seems to be contrary to our hypothesis, it seems that the sum of the

mass of the electron  $m_e$  and the mass of the proton  $m_p$  is exactly equal to or even greater than the mass of the hydrogen atom  $m_H$ . And this just confirms the traditional view: "The field has energy and mass".

However, it should be pointed out that the mass of the measuring electron must be its static mass  $m_e$  ; To measure the mass of the proton, it must also be measured at Static  $m_p$ . **A reasonable way is to measure the mass at Static by the annihilation of positive and negative electrons and protons, minus the kinetic energy due to the electric field.**

Our current measurement methods are carried out separately, which will cause measurement errors due to the "cosmic forbidden energy arbitrage" we pointed out later, and the final measurement results are not the real masses of electrons and protons at Static  $m_e$  and  $m_p$ . (It will be pointed out later that it can not be measured by weighing method, which is also based on the theory that the universe forbids energy arbitrage.)

Therefore, we will explain this theory in detail later. If we use the matter-antimatter annihilation method to accurately measure the static mass of electrons, protons and hydrogen atoms, then there must be the following relationship:

$$m_H > m_e + m_p$$

Where it is assumed that

$$\Delta m = m_H - (m_e + m_p)$$

So  $\Delta E = \Delta m \cdot c^2$  it is the kinetic energy gained by the attraction of electrons and protons.

Which means that before the electron and the proton actually combine,  $\Delta E$  this part of energy is missing, and the energy of our universe is not in a state of conservation. It is not until electrons and protons combine that the state of energy return and conservation is finally reached.

(Note: The mass of the hydrogen atom refers to the total mass of the electron and proton when they merge and no photons are released. If it is a ground state hydrogen atom, its ionization energy of about 13.6 eV should be added.)

**Conclusion 4: The conservation of energy in the universe is realized under the time dimension of t, and it is not required that our universe maintains the state of energy conservation at any time t.**

The conservation of energy under the time dimension is to realize the return and conservation of energy through the interaction between substances through the "missing energy field", which eventually transforms into mutual movement.

Taking the above two examples, when the electron and proton are separated and at

Static, the mass of the electron and proton at Static is less than the mass of the combined hydrogen atom  $m_e + m_p < m_H$ . At this time, energy is in a "missing" state and is not conserved. Only when they form relative motion under the interaction of the forces generated by this "missing energy field" can the energy return and restore conservation in the time dimension.

The same is true for the gravitational pull of matter in our universe.

For example, suppose the Static mass of the Earth is  $m_A$ , the Static mass of the neutron star is  $m_B$ . When the Earth and the neutron star are far apart, the total static mass we see at this time is  $m_A + m_B$ . If the Earth were being pulled into the neutron star, then we would see that their total mass would be greater than  $m_A + m_B$ .

This part of "missing gravitational energy" is the essence of gravity. This "missing gravitational energy" will return and realize the energy conservation of the universe only after it is transformed into the mutual motion of matter through the action of gravity on the dimension of time  $t$ .

According to current theory, if we are sucked into a black hole, the mass of our galaxy, or even the mass of the solar system alone, will tend to be infinite from the perspective of the black hole.

**Conclusion 5: The origin of the interaction of all forces in the universe is caused by the "missing energy field" caused by the missing of this energy (some effects are indirect effects). Matter can release or absorb some energy to change the missing of energy between matter, and then produce the interaction of field and force.**

If two substances A and B are in an unconserved state of "missing of energy", there will be a force between them. In turn, if there is no missing energy between two substances A and B, such as two uncharged neutrons, there will be no force action at a long distance.

(Of course, some forces act indirectly through this missing of energy: the same charge repulsion effect, the close interaction of neutrons with nuclei, the interaction of photons with electrons, and so on.)

Matter can increase or decrease the total amount of energy loss between each other by increasing or losing energy (but not unilaterally). The absence and return of energy on the dimension of time  $t$  is the essence of the interaction between matter.

**Electric field:** When electrons and protons are separated, the total energy is less than the combined energy  $m_e + m_p < m_H$ .

As a result, energy is in a "missing of energy" state (or non-conservation state) at the time of separation, and then an electric field is generated, and then the electric

field generates force and attracts each other and generates relative motion, eventually returning to the state of energy conservation.

**Magnetic field:** In fact, the magnetic field is also generated by the missing of energy. When the electric field pushes the electrons in the conductor to flow, because the electrons in the conductor are very slow, the total kinetic energy of electron drift under the action of the electric field in the conductor is far less than the energy of the electric field itself:  $\sum \frac{1}{2} m_e v^2 < E$ . ( $\sum \frac{1}{2} m_e v^2$  it refers to the kinetic energy of electron drift,  $E$  is the electric field energy), so when the electric field energy is transmitted through the conductor, there is a "missing of energy" state, which in turn produces a magnetic field. This will be discussed in detail in subsequent applications.

**Gravitational field:** We consider that when a photon leaves a massive star, it has a redshift. What we see before and after is that the mass of the star becomes smaller, the frequency of the photon decreases, the mass becomes smaller, and the total energy becomes less. The missing mass and energy will then exist in the missing state, and produce "gravity" effect, and finally restore conservation under the action of gravity.

**Strong force:** Take neutrons and protons in the nucleus as an example, there is no energy missing between neutrons and protons, so there is no long-distance direct interaction force between neutrons and protons. The strong force is formed by the following form:

We assume that the static masses of neutrons and protons when they exist alone are  $m_n$  and  $m_p$ , respectively. After they release energy  $E$ , the static masses of neutrons and protons become  $m'_n$  and  $m'_p$  after releasing energy. The energy of is  $E = \Delta m \cdot c^2$ .

If  $m'_n + m'_p + \Delta m < m_n + m_p$ , namely:

$$\Delta E = m_n + m_p - (m'_n + m'_p + \Delta m) > 0$$

So we are in a state of non-conservation of energy, and we have two new particles  $m'_n$  and  $m'_p$ . This creates a state of missing energy (a state of non-conservation)  $\Delta E$ . And then produce an energy field, they will attract each other and combine into a nucleus through the action of this "field", and the particles will move with each other in the nucleus (quarks move with each other at a high speed). The missing energy  $\Delta E$  is compensated by the mutual movement of  $m'_n$  and  $m'_p$ , and finally  $m'_n$  and  $m'_p$  are combined, which is the origin of the nuclear force. The resulting field is the force field.

Of course, in theory, it can also be achieved by adding energy:

Add energy  $E$  between neutron  $m_n$  and proton  $m_p$ , assuming that the static mass of the new particle after adding energy is  $m_n^+$  and  $m_p^+$  respectively, if:

$$m_n^+ + m_p^+ < m_n + m_p + \frac{E}{c^2}$$

$$\Delta E = m_n + m_p + \frac{E}{c^2} - (m_n^+ + m_p^+)$$

Then this creates a "missing energy  $\Delta E$ " between  $m_n^+$  and  $m_p^+$ , which in turn generates an energy field. They will attract and move each other through the action of this field, and make up for the missing energy by the mutual movement.

**Conclusion 6: The total amount of missing energy  $E_{missing}$  between any two substances A and B is finite, and the force of all fields produced by the missing energy  $E_{missing}$  obeys the inverse square law of force in space:  $F \propto \frac{1}{r^2}$ , the radius  $r$  of its minimum force is determined according to the total amount of energy loss. We assume that the energy-missing equilibrium radius is  $r$ , then there will be an attractive force between substances in the range greater than  $r$ , and a repulsive force will be shown in the range less than  $r$ . This is also the essential reason why the extranuclear electrons can be stable and not collapsed.**

The origin of the inverse square law of matter in space, including magnetic field, electric field, gravitational field and strong force field (the reason why we can not observe the inverse square law of strong force will be explained below), is essentially due to the missing of energy  $E_{missing}$ . And the action of the generated field, the principle of their generation is the same, so they also follow the inverse square law of force in space  $F \propto \frac{1}{r^2}$ .

All the phenomena of "force" caused by the missing of energy and the action of "force" in space conform to the inverse square law:

$$F \propto \frac{1}{r^2}$$

Taking electrons and protons as examples, the minimum radius of action of their attraction depends on the size of energy loss, and will not still have the effect of attraction on the infinitesimal scale, otherwise there will be infinite energy.

We assume that the total amount of missing energy between electrons and protons at larger distances is  $E$ , then according to the formula of electric field potential energy, the minimum attractive radius of its electric field  $r$  for

$$r = \frac{ke^2}{E} \quad (3)$$

where  $e$  is the unit charge,  $k = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0}$ .

However, if we assume that the missing energy is converted into electron kinetic energy, and the electron moves in a uniform circular motion outside the nucleus, then according to the formula of force and circular motion of electrons outside the nucleus (non-relativistic):

$$F = k \frac{e^2}{r_1^2} = \frac{mv^2}{r_1} \quad (4)$$

Get

$$E = \frac{mv^2}{2} = 2k \frac{e^2}{r_1} \quad (5)$$

From (4) (5):

$$r_1 = 2 \frac{ke^2}{E} \quad (6)$$

Comparing (3) and (6), we find that  $r_1 = 2r$ . That is to say, if electrons are absorbed by atomic nuclei from a long distance, the kinetic energy of electrons converted from potential energy can not be bound by atomic nuclei. This property also holds for gravity. Therefore, if space is unified and extranuclear electrons are forced strictly according to the inverse square ratio, our world will cease to exist. Electrons and nuclei will not be able to combine, and all matter under the action of gravity will not be able to gather.

The independence of space is a prerequisite for the stability of electrons and nuclei, and because of this, the distribution of electrons outside the nucleus we observe is random<sup>[1]</sup>.

Of course, at the same time, we can see that atoms can increase or decrease the total amount of energy lost between each other by absorbing energy or releasing energy outward, and increase or decrease the speed of mutual movement to maintain their relatively stable state (extranuclear electrons always tend to release energy to make themselves in a low energy state). In fact, the same is true for gravity. In fact, the formation of planets or stars is also a process of releasing energy.

Therefore, we can well explain the following phenomena:

When the distance between the electron and the proton is greater than the radius  $r$ . The force between a proton and an electron follows the inverse square law. But when smaller than the radius  $r$ . This force becomes a repulsive force (from the electron scattering experiment, it should indeed be a repulsive force at close range<sup>[6]</sup>). Because of the missing energy between the electron and the proton, it is only necessary to return to conservation at the radius  $r$ . Therefore, the attraction between the electron and the proton will only occur at radii greater than or equal to  $r$ . When the radius is less than  $r$ , the nature of space makes the action of force repulsive.



It may be puzzling that the strong force in the nucleus does not seem to follow the inverse square law, but it does not, and what we see is only due to observation.

As mentioned above, we assume that the static masses of protons and neutrons are  $m_p$  and  $m_n$ , respectively, and they have the following relationship when combined into a nucleus:

$$m'_p + m'_n + \Delta m + \frac{E_{12}}{c^2} = m_p + m_n$$

Where  $E_{12}$  represents the energy of mutual attraction and mutual motion caused by the "missing of energy" when protons and neutrons combine. The strong force is caused by  $E_{12}$  due to the missing of energy.  $\Delta m$  it's the mass they lose when protons and neutrons combine.  $m'_p$  and  $m'_n$  it is the static mass of new particles after the release of energy when protons and neutrons combine.

When we try to observe the nucleus with a high-speed particle A (such as a neutron or proton), in fact, the difference between the observed particle A (neutron or proton) and the observed nuclear particle " $m'_p$  or  $m'_n$ ", during this time, the so-called "energy-missing  $E_{missing}$ " state is not formed. So apart from the repulsion we can see between the charge between A and the nucleus, we can't observe other forces at larger distances (for example, neutrons are largely unaffected when they are closer to the nucleus at longer distances)<sup>[7]</sup>. It is precisely because of this that the strong force is expressed as a short-range force, and in fact the weak force is also the same.

**The so-called short-range force: that is, there is no energy-missing  $E_{missing}$  state between the particle used for observation and the observed particle, so there is no "remote force of the field". It is only an indirect force caused by the homogeneity of particles at a very close distance.**

When they are very close, the effect at this time is not actually due to the direct force between the protons or neutrons used for observation and the nucleus, but because of the "identical property of particles", which makes the close particles have a replacement relationship with the internal particles.

At the same time, we observe the phenomenon of strong "rubber band", which has a very strong force in a small range, and this force disappears instantaneously after a certain distance.

Its essence is actually: if the kinetic energy of the particle A we use for observation is  $E_w$ , and if  $E_w \geq E_{12} + \Delta m$ , after the nuclear particles absorb the energy carried by the "observing particle", it will cause the particles inside the nucleus to interact with each other. This "energy-missing  $E_{missing}$ " state disappears instantly, and then

becomes a "no energy-missing" state between two protons or neutrons, which is also the reason why the nuclear force is a short-range force in our opinion. While we know that protons and neutrons are composed of smaller quarks, if we approximate the atomic binding energy as the missing size of its energy (about 2-9MeV) [7], then according to the inverse square law we can predict that the quark will behave the radius will be very small.

Therefore, the nuclear force is actually a superposition of several forces: repulsion between charges, attraction due to missing of energy, interaction between the nucleus and electrons outside the nucleus, and so on. And the energy loss between nuclear particles in the nucleus does not seem to be equal, so the forces that cause this energy loss are more complex. But what is certain is that the force generated by the missing of energy still follows the inverse square law between neutrons and protons inside the nucleus.

(Of course, it should be noted that the magnitude of the missing mutual energy between particles inside the nuclear force may be the same as that of electrons outside the proton, and may not be evenly distributed, so  $E_w$  should also not be a fixed value)

### **6.1 Supplementary conclusion: Our cosmic space seems to be inclined to keep the missing state of energy balanced or even precise in space.**

1) In the case of electric charges, there is no "missing of energy" between the same charges, but our universe seems to tend to prohibit the "aggregation" of the same charges, thus showing the role of repulsive forces.

2) Taking the electrons outside the nucleus as an example, when the radius is less than the R required for energy loss, the charges seem to turn into repulsive forces, thus maintaining the strictness of the inverse square law of energy loss in space. This phenomenon seems to be the opposite of the attraction caused by the missing of energy.

For example, two electrons will slow down in the process of approaching, and their total energy will decrease, which will lead to the formation of "energy loss" state between them, and then produce repulsive force, which will eventually lead to the return of energy.

**Conclusion 7: The universe prohibits energy arbitrage, and our universe is energy-conserving on the time t dimension.**

Proof: For an electron and a proton, we assume that their masses at Static are  $m_e$  and  $m_p$ , the mass of the hydrogen atom at the time of merger is:  $m_H = m_e + m_p + \Delta m$

So when we accelerate electrons and protons alone, if we only need to consume the corresponding Static mass  $m_e$  and  $m_p$  energy required, then the total energy before acceleration is  $m_H$  (Including the missing energy part  $\Delta m$ ). But if we separate them first, we accelerate the electrons and protons to  $V$ , and then let them attract each other and merge, we will get the total mass (kinetic mass):

$$m_H(v) = m_e(v) + m_p(v) + \Delta m(v)$$

And the energy  $E$  we spend accelerating electrons and protons alone corresponds to the mass of their Static state  $m_e$  and  $m_p$ . Therefore, the energy consumed by the acceleration process is:  $E = (m_e(v) + m_p(v) - m_e - m_p) \cdot c^2$ . Then we will gain extra energy before and after acceleration, thus realizing "energy arbitrage", resulting in energy no longer being conserved:

$$(m_H(v) - m_H) \cdot c^2 = (\Delta m(v) - \Delta m) \cdot c^2 > 0$$

Then it means that the energy of the universe increases before and after acceleration, which is forbidden.

Therefore, we have an important corollary:

**Corollary: Electrons and protons will generate a " accompanying energy field:  $E_{follow}$ " during acceleration to ensure energy conservation before and after acceleration.**

(Note: For electrons and protons, whether the magnitude of the " accompanying energy field " produced when they are accelerated is symmetrical and equal should be measured rigorously.)

Positive and negative electron annihilation, positive and negative proton annihilation can be used to measure the size of its accompanying energy field. Because it is possible that the distribution of this " accompanying energy field " between electrons and protons is asymmetric.

**At the same time, the " accompanying energy field:  $E_{follow}$ " it is also the origin of energy radiation of electrons and protons.**

Whether it is the X-rays (bremsstrahlung) emitted by the high-speed electrons that we discovered hitting the nucleus, or the synchrotron radiation we currently use to generate high-speed electrons, the essence is that the charged particles generate an " accompanying energy field:  $E_{follow}$ " during the acceleration, which in turn causes the phenomenon. Because the binding force between the associated energy field and electrons or protons is relatively weak, and  $E_{follow}$  has real mass, momentum and

inertia, when the moving electrons collide or change direction,  $E_{follow}$  will be easily radiated due to inertial effect, accompanied by the deceleration of charged particles.

It should be noted that the observer's perspective of the accompanying energy field is in a relatively static ground observer's reference frame. This accompanying energy field is invisible to an observer moving with the electrons. Because the reason for the generation of the associated energy field is based on the conservation of energy.

It is also true that we do not observe the radiation of neutrons, because there is no accompanying energy field around the moving neutrons  $E_{follow}$ .

In addition, the missing of energy due to gravity does not produce this effect, because the energy (mass) of an object is directly related to its speed. Accelerate a static mass of  $m$  object A, assuming that its energy (kinetic mass) after acceleration becomes  $m(v)$ . Then it means that the energy of the gravitational field corresponding to object A will increase proportionally, and there will be no "arbitrage phenomenon" of energy.

**Conclusion 8:** The distribution of missing energy made up of particle populations can be uneven. Taking the electron outside the nucleus as an example, the energy of the outermost electron is not the missing energy of the average electron and proton. After losing the outer electron, the atomic radius will shrink a lot, and this is the reason<sup>[7]</sup>.

At present, we are not sure whether the larger elements in the periodic table change the total amount of energy loss between particles through the release of energy between neutrons and protons during the formation of their nuclei, or whether the total amount of energy loss between protons in the nucleus and electrons outside the nucleus is also changed through the release of energy. On this point, we need further experimental verification.

**Conclusion 9:** The state of energy missing can be reduced or increased by the release of energy between substances (for example, the outer electrons of atoms radiate energy); The amount of this missing energy can also be changed by absorbing energy.

## 4 Application of the unified theory of force

### 4.1 The "Arrest" Phenomenon of Charged Particle Acceleration and the Origin of Its Radiation

1) We find that it is difficult to accelerate charged particles, and the phenomenon of energy radiation will appear when the charged particles are accelerated.

We assume that the electron mass at Static is  $m_e$ , the proton mass at Static is  $m_p$ , the mass of a hydrogen atom when the electron and proton combine (without radiating

energy) is  $m_H$ , and has the following relationship:

$$m_H = m_e + m_p + \Delta m$$

When we accelerate electrons or protons, we actually not only accelerate the particles corresponding to their static masses  $m_e$  or  $m_p$ , but also because the universe prohibits "energy arbitrage", there will be an associated energy field  $E_{follow}$  generated.

For example, we assume that a stationary electron and proton are separately accelerated to  $v$  the amount of energy  $E$  that we actually need to expend is:

$$E = m_H(v) - m_H = m_H \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v^2}} - m_H = (m_e + m_p + \Delta m) \left( \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v^2}} - 1 \right)$$

Therefore, in fact, in the process of accelerating electrons and protons, besides the energy increased by the speed increase of their own static mass, there will also be an accompanying energy around them, whose magnitude is:

$$E_{follow} = \left( \Delta m \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v^2}} - \Delta m \right) \cdot c^2$$

( $E_{follow}$  whether it is equally distributed between electrons and protons needs to be verified experimentally.)

Therefore, if the moving electron collides with a massive atomic nucleus, this part of the accompanying energy will be released due to inertial action, and the maximum value of its single radiation energy is  $E_{follow}$ , that is, the maximum energy of a single photon is radiated for  $E_{follow}$ . (The exact maximum radiation value is determined according to the distribution of  $E_{follow}$  between electrons and protons, and if it is equally distributed, then its maximum radiation value is  $E_{follow}/2$ ).

Note: After the electron radiates the energy of the "accompanying energy field", it should decelerate immediately, and part of the kinetic energy of the decelerated electron will be converted into the form of "companion energy" again.

## 2) for synchrotron and bremsstrahlung

In our traditional theory, synchrotron radiation is considered to be a relativistic effect phenomenon. In fact, the author has explained in two other articles that there is no such relativistic effect<sup>[1] [2]</sup>. However, we use the accompanying energy field to

explain the phenomenon which is actually more natural and consistent with the experimental observation.

An electron moving in a straight line at a high speed has a velocity of  $v_1$  after the moving direction is changed by a strong magnetic field, the moving speed is  $v_2$ , assuming that we do not consider the time of the angular transition of the electron velocity (if the time is small), then the accompanying energy  $E_{follow}$  it will be radiated in the form of a straight line, and the amount of energy radiated is:

The total energy of the particle before passing through the magnetic field is:

$$(m_e + \Delta m) \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v_1^2}} \cdot c^2$$

The total energy after the magnetic field is:

$$(m_e + \Delta m) \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v_2^2}} \cdot c^2$$

The energy radiated is:

$$(m_e + \Delta m) \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v_1^2}} \cdot c^2 - (m_e + \Delta m) \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v_2^2}} \cdot c^2$$

Where  $\Delta m$  assume for us the magnitude of the accompanying energy around the electron, which is in the range of  $[0, m_H - m_e - m_p]$ , we guess that its size is:  $(m_H - m_e - m_p)/2$ , but experimental evidence is needed.

In theory, if there is no accompanying energy  $E_{follow}$ , just changing the direction of movement of the electron will not radiate energy, only the momentum of the electron has changed, and the energy before and after is conserved.

For example, if we do the same acceleration for neutrons, since there is no accompanying energy for neutrons, there will be no such radiation effect.

**3) The forces due to missing energy can be superimposed, but the total amount of missing energy will not be increased.**

For example, if 10 electrons and 10 protons are separated, if the unit attraction of 10 protons to an electron increases by 10 times at the same distance, it does not mean that the overall energy loss increases, which is still 10 times the energy loss between an electron and a proton.

(Note: We are not sure whether the amount of missing energy between electrons and protons also changes during the formation of nuclei.)

## 4.2 Interpretation and application of magnetic field

1) Our current theory holds that the magnetic field is generated by the moving charge or changing electric field in the conductor. We're going to restate it here, the magnetic field is actually a phenomenon caused by "energy-missing  $E_{missing}$ ", which has exactly the same origin as the electric field.

We illustrate with the following thought experiment:

Assume that that capacitance of a capacitor  $4\mu F$  charged to a voltage of 600 V, the stored energy is:

$$W_e = \frac{1}{2}CU^2 = \frac{1}{2} \times 4 \times 10^{-6} \times 600^2 J = 0.72 J$$

The amount of electricity stored is:

$$Q = CU = 4 \times 10^{-6} \times 600 = 2.4 \times 10^{-3} C$$

$$e = 1.602 \times 10^{-19} C$$

The total number of electrons corresponding to the estimated stored electricity is:

$$\frac{Q}{e} = \frac{2.4 \times 10^{-3}}{1.602 \times 10^{-19}} = 1.498 \times 10^{16}$$

$$m_e = 9.109 \times 10^{-31} kg$$

Total electron mass  $M_e = \frac{Q}{e} \times m_e = 1.498 \times 10^{16} \times 9.109 \times 10^{-31} kg = 1.3645 \times 10^{-14} kg$

If converted into the kinetic energy of the electron, the velocity of the electron is approximately:

$$\frac{1}{2}M_e v^2 = 0.72 J$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \times 1.3645 \times 10^{-14} \times v^2 = 0.72 J$$

$$v = 1.027 \times 10^7 m/s$$

We assume that a superconducting coil is connected between the two capacitor plates, and electrons will pass through the coil without resistance. We know that the speed of electrons in ordinary wires is generally less than 1m/s<sup>[8]</sup>, and even in superconducting coils, the speed is expected to be much less than  $10^7 m/s$ . (Note:

Because the current density of general superconducting materials can reach  $200 \sim 1000 A \cdot mm^{-2}$ , is 100-1000 times that of the non-superconducting state, so we roughly estimate that the electron drift velocity in superconductors is 100-1000 times that in ordinary conductors, assuming that the number of free electrons is constant.)

Then, in the process of converting the electric field into electron kinetic energy, since the electron kinetic energy is not equal to the electric field energy (

$\frac{1}{2}M_e v^2 \ll E_q$ ), the "missing" energy will exist in a state of "missing energy", which forms the magnetic field we see, which is the origin of the magnetic field.

**In fact, all magnetic fields, including the magnetic moment caused by the spin**

of particles, are a manifestation of the missing of energy, and also indicate that the electron  $e$  actually has a more microscopic internal structure.

2) The modification of the displacement current in the traditional Maxwell electromagnetic theory.




Fig. 6 Maxwell displacement current

In traditional electromagnetism, in order to explain the magnetic field formed between two charged capacitor plates (the magnetic effect of the part enclosed by the closed surface  $S_2$ ), Maxwell proposed a concept of displacement current and its magnetic effect<sup>[9]</sup>.

In fact, this is not necessary, the traditional electromagnetic theory believes that the current generates the magnetic field, but it has not been recognized that the essence of the magnetic field is not the current, but the missing of energy in the process of current conduction  $E_{missing}$ . Therefore, there is no need for an additional assumption that the displacement current produces a magnetic effect.

### 3) Magnetic monopole

According to the missing energy theory, the magnetic monopole does not exist.

The existence of a magnetic field presupposes the absence of energy in the conductor (including the spin magnetic moment of the electron), and the magnetic field should encircle the missing energy so that the energy returns to conservation in the future time  $t$ . Magnetic monopoles do not have this property.

4) The experiment predicts that a strong magnet (or electron magnetic moment) moving at a high speed will radiate energy like an electron when it suddenly changes its direction of motion or collides.

Similar to electrons and protons, slowing down or changing the direction of a high-speed magnet will also radiate energy. Otherwise, the phenomenon of "energy arbitrage" will occur.

Let's take two magnets as an example: let's assume that both bar magnets have a missing energy of  $E_{missing}$ , so its total missing energy is  $2E_{missing}$ . Two basically



identical bar magnets can attract each other through the north and south poles, and the magnetic field is converted into the kinetic energy of the magnet to realize part of the energy regression, and finally a new magnet is formed, and the total amount of energy loss is correspondingly reduced (we can assume that the total amount of energy loss is from  $2E_{missing}$  to  $E_{missing}$ ). This process is the same as the energy return of positive and negative charges attracting each other.

Therefore, when we accelerate the magnet, an accompanying energy field  $E_{follow}$  is generated near the magnet, so that energy arbitrage does not occur. Its radiation formula is the same as when the electrons or protons collide or accelerate as described above.

At the same time, because the electron  $e$  has a very small spin and magnetic moment, in experiments such as synchrotron radiation, we should also be able to detect a very small radiation, which is generated by the "companion energy" of the electron spin magnetic field (because the magnetic field generated by the electron spin is too small, it may be difficult to detect).

The electron magnetic moment energy at Static is assumed to be  $\Delta E$  (Magnetic field energy calculated in the traditional way), when we accelerate the electron  $e$  to  $V$ , a accompanying energy field will also be generated around the magnetic moment of the electron, and its magnitude is:

$$\Delta E(v) = \Delta E \cdot \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}.$$

If we change the direction of electron motion at this time, we should be able to detect a radiation effect emitted by the accompanying energy field generated by the magnetic moment of the electron, and the maximum value of the radiation is:

$$\Delta E(v) - \Delta E = \Delta E \cdot \sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}} - \Delta E$$

For ordinary magnetic materials, the magnetic field is actually associated with the moving charge, so the radiation of this energy may be absorbed by the material, so it may be difficult to detect.

#### 5) Possible explanation for the origin of the speed of light C: We discussed the

rationality of photons having a minimum mass  $m_0$  in the above article. If we regard the entire universe as a whole, when a state of "energy-missing" is formed between photons and the universe, then the photon will move at a speed close to the speed of light  $C$  relative to the entire universe, which may be the origin of the speed of light.

### 4.3 Interpretation of the gravitational field

### 1) On the characteristics of gravitational energy:




Fig. 7 Gravitational Potential Energy Thought Experiment

As shown in Figure 7 above, we assume that the initial masses of the A and B balls are respectively  $m + \Delta m$ . The initial masses of C and D balls are respectively  $M + \Delta M$ . At the beginning, the four spheres are relatively static and far apart, and the S system is assumed to be the ground observer.

The A and B balls burn the energy corresponding to the mass of  $\Delta m$ , respectively, and convert them into the relative motion speeds of the X-axis direction of the S system  $-v_1$  and  $v_1$ . The energy corresponding to the mass of  $\Delta M$  is burned by the C and D balls, respectively, which is converted into the movement speed relative to the X-axis direction of the S system  $-v_2$  and  $v_2$ .

At the beginning, it is assumed that the gravitational potential energy between A、C is  $E_1$ , and B、D is  $E_1$  too.

Since the acceleration process is only the transformation of the static mass of the object into the dynamic mass of the object, if A and C are regarded as a whole, B and D are regarded as a whole. From the point of view of the S system, the mass and energy are conserved before and after the acceleration, and the masses of A, B, C, and D have not changed, so the energy is conserved before and after. And the gravitational potential energy between A, C and B, D is  $E_1$  also unchanged.

(It also proves once again that accelerating matter does not produce an accompanying gravitational energy field.)

When  $v_1 = v_2 = v$ , the gravitational potential energy seen from the S system will have the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} f(M + \Delta M, m + \Delta m) &= f\left(m \cdot \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v^2}}, M \cdot \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v^2}}\right) \\ &= f(m, M) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{c^2 - v^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We find that the left and right sides of (7) are actually a gravitational potential energy function related to the total energy. Instead of the following formula for gravitational potential energy, which we often use:

$$f'(m, M) = \frac{GMm}{r} \quad (8)$$

Because in the traditional theory of gravitational potential energy, if  $r$  is kept constant, equation (8) is a function related to the product of total energy " $M \cdot m$ ", rather than a function related to total energy.

For the gravitational magnitude:  $F = \frac{GMm}{r^2}$ . It is easy to understand that this is similar to the superposition property of electromagnetic force. When charges accumulate, the magnitude of their forces can be superimposed, so the formula of gravitation between two objects does not need to be modified.

However, the total amount of energy missing between the two electric fields does not increase because of the superposition of forces, which is also in line with the characteristics of gravity. Therefore, we believe that the total amount of gravitational potential energy between discrete matter is a function related to the mass of the whole matter.

Therefore, we conclude that:

**Conclusion 10: Gravitational potential energy between uniformly discretely distributed matter  $E$  the magnitude of is actually a function related to the total energy of matter and scales linearly with  $M$  and  $m$ :**

$$E = f(kM, km) = kf(M, m) \quad (9)$$

This is similar to the total energy of the electric field, the total energy of the missing electric field of a pile of free positive and negative charges is directly related to the total number of positive and negative charges.

However, the difference between gravitational field and electric field is that we find that after any two substances A and B attract each other and combine through gravity, the gravity between AB and other substances does not disappear, but continues to

exist. This property of gravitation is completely different from the property that electrons and protons in an electric field no longer exhibit an electric field after they combine.

Therefore, we can deduce another conclusion of the gravitational field:

**Conclusion 11: The total gravitational energy depends on the sum of the gravitational energy between the matter.**

We assume that there are  $2^n$  substances with a static mass of  $\Delta m$  that are uniformly and discretely distributed in the universe, and we consider them to perform a pairwise merger process uniformly:

Two  $\Delta m$  total energy after merger:

$$m_1 = \Delta m + \Delta m + f(\Delta m, \Delta m) = 2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1$$

Where we assume  $e_1 = \frac{f(\Delta m, \Delta m)}{c^2}$ . It is the mass expression of the energy of the gravitational potential energy between two objects after their merger.

Two of the above  $m_1$  re-merging of objects:

$$\begin{aligned} m_2 &= 2(2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1) + f((2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1), (2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1)) \\ &= 2(2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1) + e_2 = 4\Delta m + 2e_1 + e_2 \end{aligned}$$

Whitch,  $e_2 = \frac{f((2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1), (2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1))}{c^2}$  representing two masses of  $2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1$  the static mass of the gravitational potential energy produced by the merger between objects. And so on:

Continue to merge two  $m_2$  :

$$\begin{aligned} m_3 &= 8\Delta m + 4e_1 + 2e_2 + f((4\Delta m + 2e_1 + e_2), (4\Delta m + 2e_1 + e_2)) \\ &= 8\Delta m + 4e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3 \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ m_n &= 2^n \Delta m + 2^{n-1} e_1 + 2^{n-2} e_2 + \dots + e_n \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, there are:

$$e_n > 2e_{n-1} > 2^2 e_{n-2} > \dots > 2^{n-2} e_2 > 2^{n-1} e_1$$

If we assume:

$$e_1 = k \cdot \Delta m \quad (10)$$

Then according to (9) and (10), we have the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned}
e_2 &= f\left((2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1), (2 \cdot \Delta m + e_1)\right) \\
&= f\left((2 + k) \cdot \Delta m, (2 + k) \cdot \Delta m\right) \\
&= (2 + k)e_1 = k(2 + k) \cdot \Delta m
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_3 &= f\left((4\Delta m + 2e_1 + e_2), (4\Delta m + 2e_1 + e_2)\right) \\
&= f\left((4 + 2k + k(2 + k)) \cdot \Delta m, (4 + 2k + k(2 + k)) \cdot \Delta m\right) \\
&= (4 + 4k + k^2)k \cdot \Delta m
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_4 &= f\left((8\Delta m + 4e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3), (8\Delta m + 4e_1 + 2e_2 + e_3)\right) \\
&= k[(8 + 4k + 2k(2 + k) + (4 + 4k + k^2)k)]\Delta m \\
&= k(8 + 12k + 6k^2 + k^3) \Delta m
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
e_5 &= f\left((16\Delta m + 8e_1 + 4e_2 + 2e_3 + e_4), (16\Delta m + 8e_1 + 4e_2 + 2e_3 + e_4)\right) \\
&= k[(16 + 8k + 4k(2 + k) + 2(4 + 4k + k^2)k) + k(8 + 12k + 6k^2 + k^3)]\Delta m \\
&= k(16 + 32k + 24k^2 + 8k^3 + k^4) \Delta m
\end{aligned}$$

.....

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n &= f\left((2^{n-1}\Delta m + 2^{n-2}e_1 + 2^{n-3}e_2 + \dots + e_{n-1}), (2^{n-1}\Delta m + 2^{n-2}e_1 + 2^{n-3}e_2 + \dots + e_{n-1})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the coefficient of the  $k\Delta m$  term in  $e_n$  is:  $2^{n-1}$

The coefficient of the  $k^2\Delta m$  term in  $e_n$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2^{n-2} \cdot 2^0 + 2^{n-3} \cdot 2^1 + 2^{n-4} \cdot 2^2 + 2^{n-5} \cdot 2^3 + \dots + 2^{n-n} \cdot 2^{n-2}) \\
&= (n - 1)2^{n-2}
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of the  $k^3\Delta m$  term in  $e_n$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(2^{n-3} \cdot (2 - 1)2^{2-2} + 2^{n-4} \cdot (3 - 1)2^{3-2} + 2^{n-5} \cdot (4 - 1)2^{4-2} + 2^{n-6} \\
&\quad \cdot (5 - 1)2^{5-2} + \dots + 2^{n-n} \cdot (n - 2)2^{n-3}) \\
&= 2^{n-3} \cdot (1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 2)) = \frac{(n - 1)(n - 2)}{2} 2^{n-3}
\end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of the  $k^4\Delta m$  term in  $e_n$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
e_n &= f\left((2^{n-1}\Delta m + 2^{n-2}e_1 + 2^{n-3}e_2 + \dots + e_{n-1}), (2^{n-1}\Delta m + 2^{n-2}e_1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2^{n-3}e_2 + \dots + e_{n-1})\right) \\
&\left(2^{n-4} \cdot \frac{(3-1)(3-2)}{2} 2^{3-3} + 2^{n-5} \cdot \frac{(4-1)(4-2)}{2} 2^{4-3} + 2^{n-6} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \cdot \frac{(5-1)(5-2)}{2} 2^{5-3} + \dots + 2^{n-n} \cdot \frac{(n-2)(n-3)}{2} 2^{n-4}\right) \\
&= 2^{n-5} \cdot \left(\frac{(n-3)(n-2)(n-1)}{3}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in the expansion of  $m_n$ :

$$m_n = 2^n \Delta m + 2^{n-1} e_1 + 2^{n-2} e_2 + \dots + e_n$$

The coefficient of the  $k\Delta m$  term in  $m_n$  is:

$$2^{n-1} \cdot 2^0 + 2^{n-2} \cdot 2^1 + 2^{n-3} \cdot 2^2 + \dots + 2^{n-n} \cdot 2^{n-1} = n \cdot 2^{n-1}$$

Therefore, when  $nk > 2$ , there is:  $n \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot k\Delta m > 2^n \cdot \Delta m$ , the growth rate of gravitational potential energy in the first term of the coefficient  $k\Delta m$  is  $n \cdot 2^{n-1} \cdot k\Delta m$ , it will be greater than the growth rate of visible matter mass we see by  $2^n \cdot \Delta m$ .

The coefficient of the  $k^2\Delta m$  term in  $m_n$  is:

$$\begin{aligned}
&2^{n-2} \cdot 2^{2-2} \cdot (2-1) + 2^{n-3} \cdot 2^{3-2} \cdot (3-1) + 2^{n-4} \cdot 2^{4-2} (4-1) + \dots + 2^0 \\
&\quad \cdot 2^{n-2} (n-1) \\
&= 2^{n-2} \cdot [(2-1) + (3-1) + (4-1) + \dots + (n-1)] \\
&= 2^{n-2} \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

If  $2^{n-2} \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \cdot k^2 \cdot \Delta m > 2^n \cdot \Delta m$ , that is, when:  $n(n-1)k^2 > 8$ , the gravitational potential energy of the  $k^2\Delta m$  term will increase faster than the mass of matter. (When  $n$  is large enough, it is approximately equal to  $n^2k^2 > 8$ , that is,  $nk > 2\sqrt{2}$ ).

The same calculation applies to the  $k^3\Delta m$  term coefficients in  $m_n$ :

$$\frac{(3-1)(3-2)}{2} 2^{3-3} 2^{n-3} + \frac{(4-1)(4-2)}{2} 2^{4-3} 2^{n-4} + \dots$$

$$+ \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2} 2^{n-3} 2^{n-n} = 2^{n-4} \left( \frac{(n-2)(n-1)n}{3} \right)$$

The coefficient of the  $k^4 \Delta m$  term in  $m_n$  is:

$$2^{n-4} \cdot 2^{4-5} \cdot \left( \frac{(4-3)(4-2)(4-1)}{3} \right) + 2^{n-5} \cdot 2^{5-5} \cdot \left( \frac{(5-3)(5-2)(5-1)}{3} \right) +$$

$$2^{n-6} \cdot 2^{6-5} \cdot \left( \frac{(6-3)(6-2)(6-1)}{3} \right) + \dots + 2^{n-n} \cdot 2^{n-5} \cdot \left( \frac{(n-3)(n-2)(n-1)}{3} \right) =$$

$$2^{n-7} \cdot \left( \frac{(n-3)(n-2)(n-1)n}{3} - 6 \right)$$

So we come to the following conclusion:

**Conclusion 12:** When the amount of matter such as stars in the universe gradually increases to a certain amount, the increase rate of energy brought by gravitational potential energy will exceed the increase rate of the mass of visible matter such as stars, namely:

$$E = f(M, m) > (M + m) \cdot c^2$$

The above model is very suitable for our current cosmic space. The distribution of stars and other matter in our galaxy, the distribution of galaxies in the universe, and the symmetry and flatness of the universe we observe are all very suitable for the analysis of this model. Because  $k$  it is a fixed coefficient we assume, so in our galaxy or universe, when the total amount of uniformly distributed matter is greater than a critical point, the increase in energy per unit of matter (such as stars in the galaxy) will be several times or even tens of times the mass of the matter itself.

**Conclusion 13:** The gravity we observe in galaxies is not caused by the total amount of matter mass we see on the surface, but rather by its true total energy. Therefore, the problem of the galaxy rotation curve we see is actually not generated by dark matter, but by the total energy generated by the mass of the matter itself and the gravitational force between the matter.

Proof: According to our cosmic forbidden energy arbitrage theorem.




Fig. 8 Gravity Thought Experiment

As shown in the figure 8 above, we take the sun as an example, if the matter inside the sun expands on average to  $n$  times to  $nR$  the current radius of the sun. At this time, due to the matter overcoming gravity to do work, the static mass of the sun before the expansion is assumed to be  $M_R$ , and the static mass after the expansion is  $M_{nR}$ . Since the work is done overcoming the gravity, there is:  $M_{nR} < M_R$ .

There is a substance A with mass  $m$  at the edge of  $nR$ , and we can prove that its gravitational force  $F_R$  before and after the expansion of the sun is unchanged, although it seems that the static mass  $M_{nR}$  of the entire sun after the expansion becomes smaller.

Contradictory method: If the solar gravitational force  $F_R$  received by A becomes smaller after the expansion of the sun, the assumption becomes  $F_{nR}$ , and  $F_R < F_{nR}$ . Then we can overcome gravity with a certain amount of energy and fly to infinity after expansion, and then fly back (free fall) after the sun shrinks, then the energy of the entire system before and after increases.

Of course, we have a better way to prove it. We assume that after the sun expands, at the edge  $nR$ , it emits photons with a frequency of  $\omega$  to infinity (for more accuracy, we can consider the annihilation of positive and negative electrons that do not belong to the internal matter of the sun), the momentum and energy of the entire



solar system are unchanged before and after the symmetry. However, since the gravitational force  $F_{nR}$  becomes smaller after the expansion of the sun, the gravitational redshift will also decrease accordingly, assuming that the photon frequency becomes  $\omega_{red}$  due to the gravitational redshift. (We assume that there is a massive object at infinity that reflects photons, and the relative motion speed to the sun is 0, and the energy lost before and after photon reflection can be ignored)

When the sun contracts, these photons fly back to the original place, then because the sun contracts at this time, the Static mass of the sun becomes larger, so there is a gravitational blue shift, and the frequency of its return to the original place of emission is  $\omega_{blue}$ , then we can easily get the following results:

$$\omega_{blue} > \omega > \omega_{red}$$

The energy of the whole system increases, which produces energy arbitrage.

If this is allowed, this arbitrage behavior can go on indefinitely, and the energy of our universe can tend to infinity through energy arbitrage.

Therefore, because of the conservation of energy, our universe does not allow "energy arbitrage", so the gravity we actually see is the sum of the static mass of observable matter in the universe and the energy contained in the gravitational potential energy. Because of this, the energy contained in the universe is much greater than the total energy of observable matter, resulting in the galactic rotation curve.

Prove it.

**Conclusion 14: Similar to the superposition of electric field force, the gravitational force generated by matter energy can also be superimposed.**

**Conclusion 15: When matter is accelerated, gravity does not have an accompanying energy field like electromagnetic force.**

Because the kinetic mass of matter after acceleration  $m(v)$  it directly corresponds to the total energy of matter, as well as the size of gravity and the missing gravitational mass. There will be no problem of energy arbitrage.

## 4.4 Application of gravity theory

### 4.41 An explanation for the flattening of galaxy rotation curves

Through the previous argument, we know that the gravitational effect of stars in galaxies is not actually caused by the total static mass of visible matter in galaxies, but should include the "missing gravitational energy".

As the mass of matter in galaxies increases, we see that the increase in energy brought about by gravity will eventually exceed the growth rate of the static mass of matter itself. This is the core reason why the rotation curve of our galaxy looks smooth.

**4.42 Structure of black holes** (we only use the traditional gravitational potential energy formula to simplify the discussion here, which does not affect the core conclusions)

We start by assuming a massive small-radius star (black hole) with mass  $M$  and radius  $R$ .

According to Newton's gravitational potential energy formula, it is as follows:

$$E_p = -\frac{GMm}{r}$$

According to our conclusion, the energy missing from gravity is limited, so we assume that the added gravitational potential energy in the process of falling into the black hole  $M$  of an object of mass  $m$  is limited, which is given by the following formula:

$$\frac{GMm}{r} = kmc^2$$

Then we get the gravitational attraction radius  $r$  of the black hole  $M$  (when it is less than  $r$ , it will become a repulsive force):

$$\frac{GM}{kc^2} = r$$

If the radius of the black hole  $M$  itself  $R$ ,  $R < r$ , namely:

$$R < r = \frac{GM}{kc^2} \quad (11)$$

**Then in the process of matter being sucked into the black hole, like electrons, it will first be attracted by the gravitational force of  $M$ , and when it reaches a radius of  $r$  gravity will stop attracting, and then there will be repulsion.**

Therefore, if the radius of a massive black hole satisfies the above condition (11), a "hole" will be created between the black hole and the attracted matter outside it, which will be like the hole between protons and electrons. The size of the "void" increases with the mass of the black hole.

**4.43 For the formation of black holes themselves and gravitational waves**

Like atomic nuclei, if the collapse of the black hole cannot be reduced to a very small radius  $r$  due to the missing of energy due to its own gravity, then if it wants to

continue to collapse, it must release energy during the formation of the black hole to form a new "energy missing state".

Therefore, we guess that during the formation of black holes with large mass and small radius, huge energy will be released. This energy will be released in the form of electromagnetic force or gravitational waves.

At the same time, we have proved another conclusion: **unlike the conclusion of general relativity, high-speed massive stars rotating around each other do not release gravitational waves, so it is impossible for us to explore the gravitational waves released by the rotation of binary stars.**

#### 4.44 Black holes do not have horizons

According to the theoretical prediction of this paper, the gravitational potential energy between matter and black hole is finite, so any photon can escape from the "black hole", but there will be a redshift effect. This redshift effect will be more significant for high-mass black holes than for low-mass black holes.

#### 4.5 Applications in quantum mechanics

1) The explanation of why electrons do not fall into the nucleus is no longer explained by the traditional electron theory or the uncertainty principle.

Instead, it is more natural and theoretical to understand the phenomenon from the perspective of energy conservation and missing. The essential reason why electrons move outside the nucleus and do not fall into the nucleus is the conservation of energy, which itself exists in this way of relative motion.

##### 2) Prediction of the internal structure of the electron

From the point of view of the magnetic moment of electrons, electrons should have a more refined internal structure. The existence of the magnetic moment represents the missing of energy between the substances moving with each other inside the electron. The existence of the magnetic moment of the electron and its existence without disappearance are actually the conservation state of maintaining this energy in the time dimension.

3) When the high-speed moving electrons are suddenly changed in the direction of motion or in the process of collision, the electron magnetic moment will also produce an accompanying energy field in the high-speed motion  $\mathbf{E}_{follow}$ . Therefore, theoretically, we can also observe a very small radiation energy produced by the accompanying energy of the electron magnetic moment in synchrotron radiation or bremsstrahlung  $\mathbf{E}_{follow}$ .

### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, two basic hypotheses are proved by logical reasoning: the field does not have mass and energy, all matter has the minimum mass and the energy of matter comes from relative motion. On this basis, a new set of mechanical theory is

---

constructed in this paper, which unifies gravitation, electromagnetic force, weak force and strong force.

The new unified theory of mechanics can well answer many difficult questions in physics in the past: the common origin of the inverse square law of force, the origin of magnetic field, the rotation curve of galaxies, the origin of electromagnetic radiation, the nature of strong and weak forces and short-range forces, explaining that dark matter does not exist, and other related issues.

At the same time, this paper makes new predictions: high-speed magnets will also radiate energy (the magnetic moment of electrons will also radiate energy), the real structure of black holes is similar to that of atomic nuclei and extranuclear electrons (showing repulsion at close range), the gravitational potential energy of black holes is limited (will not swallow photons), and celestial bodies will not radiate gravitational waves in high-speed rotation.

The traditional theory is revised: the problem of the minimum radius of action of the electric field theory and the gravitational theory, the energy function of the gravitational field, the origin of the magnetic field, and the new interpretation of Maxwell's displacement current.

## References:

- 1 Sheng Qin. (2022). A possible explanation for the twin paradox and action at a distance. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6576722>
- 2 Sheng Qin. (2022). Derivation of Special Relativity Transformation Formulas Without Time Slowing and Length Shrinking—Modification of Relativistic Aberration Formula. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6618316>
- 3 Edmund G. Myers, High-Precision Atomic Mass Measurements for Fundamental Constants, *Atoms*, 2019, 7, 37.
- 4 Meng Wang et al, The AME 2020 atomic mass evaluation (II). Tables, graphs and references, *Chinese Phys. C* , 2021 , 45 030003.
- 5 G. Audi, A.H. Wapstra, C. Thibault. The AME2003 atomic mass evaluation (II) - Tables, graphs and references. 2003, pp.1-340. in2p3-00020243.
- 6 T. Janssens, R. Hofstadter, E. B. Hughes, and M. R. Yearian, Proton Form Factors from Elastic Electron-Proton Scattering , *Phys. Rev.*1966,142, 922.
- 7 ChenChenjia et al., Handbook of atomic and nuclear physics, Beijing Publishing House (China), 1993.
- 8 Clive Latey, Determination of mean drift conduction electron velocity in a conductor,1988 *Phys. Educ.* 23 51.
- 9 Zhaokaihua, Chenxi, electromagnetism, higher education press (China) , 2018.